UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,668	09/19/2005	Satoru Shoshi	Q90317	3756
23373 7590 03/29/2011 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			DESAI, ANISH P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1788	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/549,668	SHOSHI, SATORU				
Zammer milated mervion cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANISH DESAI	1788				
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: After-Final					
(1) <u>ANISH DESAI</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mr. Bruce Kramer (Attorney).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 14 March 2011	Time: <u>Approx 3:15P</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: None						
Claims discussed: Claim 5						
Prior art documents discussed: None						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787 /A. D./						
Examiner, Art Unit 1788 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 03/14/2011, the Examiner called Mr. Bruce Kramer and stated that he will need to update the search in order to determine if there is any other prior art available. If no prior art is found, then following amendment would have to be made in order to place the application in condition for allowance: in claim 5 at line 8 delete "a" between "to produce" and "pressure sensitive adhesive" and insert "the". Additionally, in abstract at line 4 replace "protect" with "protective" and at line 15 delete "The pressure sensitive adhesive sheet for protecting a surface of the present invention can give...for protecting a surface." Mr. Kramer authorized the Examiner to make the aforementioned amendment. On 03/15/2011, the Examiner left a voicemail for Mr. Kramer stating that upon further search update, new prior art is found and the Examiner will reopen prosecution.